1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

BARBARA STUART-ROBINSON,

No. RBL C10-5861RBL

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS WASHINGTON STATE,

Defendant

ORDER STAYING CASE PENDING 9<sup>TH</sup> CIRCUIT REVIEW [Dkt. #1]

THIS MATTER comes before the court on plaintiff's Motion to Proceed in forma pauperis. [Dkt. #1.] The court has considered the motion and the remainder of the record herein.

Plaintiff requests that the court permit her to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP), that is, without paying the \$350 filing fee for a civil case. The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the court has broad discretion in denying an application to proceed in forma liberally and has afforded plaintiff the benefit of any doubt. See Karim-Panahi v. Los Angeles Police Dep't, 839 F.2d 621, 623 (9th Cir.1988).

The claims alleged by the Plaintiff appear to arise out of the same facts as her prior complaint in Robinson v. Department of Corrections, Cause no. 10-5652RBL. That case was dismissed due to a lack of jurisdiction, and this case appears to be a response to that Order of Dismissal [See Dkt. #32 in Cause No. 10-5652].

## Case 3:10-cv-05861-RBL Document 3 Filed 02/02/11 Page 2 of 2

However, instead of (or in addition to) re-filing her claims with the proper jurisdictional bases, Plaintiff chose to appeal the Court's Order to the Ninth Circuit. [See Dkt. # 34 in Cause No. 10-5652]. Plaintiff cannot prosecute both actions simultaneously, given that they are essentially the same case.

The Court will therefore STAY this action, including Plaintiff's IFP application, until such time as the Ninth Circuit resolves her appeal of the Court's dismissal in Cause No. 10-5652.

The clerk will enter an order STATISTICALLY TERMINATING the case pending that resolution, and the plaintiff is INSTRUCTED to notify the court when that appeal is complete.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2nd day of FEBRUARY 2011.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE